

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/522,886	SHIMAOKA, SHIN	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Sabiha Qazi	1616	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

 (1) Sabiha Qazi, Ph.D. (Examiner).

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Anne Kornbau (Attorney).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 22 September 2006
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**

Yes

**Claims discussed:**

2,3 and 6

**Prior art documents discussed:**

Yes

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Ms Kornbau called to discuss the response and arguments about the prior art rejection. All the issues were discussed in detail. Examiner will reconsider in view of arguments and may withdraw the rejection over ONO et al.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)